

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/075,285	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner Laurel E LeFlore	Art Unit 2673	

All Participants:

(1) Laurel E LeFlore.

(2) Tammy Kassick.

Status of Application: before first office action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 July 2004

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner telephoned applicant regarding restriction requirement. Examiner was informed by secretary of the attorney handling the case that the attorney is in Korea, and will have to check with the client before electing. Therefore, mail the restriction requirement.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)